



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,557	01/02/2002	Christian Kraft	367.40493X00	7117
20457	7590 01/04/2006		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			KE, PENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22209-3873	2174		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/936,557	KRAFT, CHRISTIAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peng Ke	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒     3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	This action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		,
4) Claim(s) 15-45 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 10/09/05.

This action is final.

Claims 15-45 are pending in this application. Claims 15, 16, 25, 29, 30 and 39 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 10/09/05, and claims 40-45 were added.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 29, 39, 40, 43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deluca et al., International Publication No. WO 97/19429 in view of Mochizuki US Patent 6,044,248.

As per claim 15, Deluca teaches a method for handling messages transmitted between communication terminals via a wireless network comprising:

generating a compound message including a text part and at least one graphical icon part, (see page 5, lines 13-14; Examiner interprets the image generated by the text #07 to be an icon)

the compound message generation including reading a user inputted text part (see page 5,

lines 13-21; Examiner interprets "#07Tom?" to be a compound message) and

converting the inputted text part into a predefined message text format, (see page 10, lines 15-22; Examiner interprets the house address and the telephone number to be predefined text messages because they are automatically generated based on upon user selection)

transmitting of the message via the wireless network (see page 3, lines 2-15; Examiner interprets radio communication network to be a wireless network).

However, Deluca fails to teach adding graphical part to the message, the graphical part including a record for each of the at least one graphical icon part in a graphical format;

and adding position information in the message defining a position of the at least one graphical icon part in the text part.

Mochizuki teaches adding graphical part to the message, the graphical part including a record for each of the at least one graphical icon part in a graphical format; (column 4, lines 63-column 5, lines 8)

and adding position information in the message defining a position of the at least one graphical icon part in the text part (column 5, lines 6-20)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Mochizuki's teaching with method of Deluca in order to allow users to send customized formatted message.

As per claims 29 and 39, they are rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 15, Deluca and Mochizuki teach method of claim 15. Mochizuki further teaches wherein the position information futher defines the position of the at least one graphical icon part relative to the text part such that at least a portion of the text is positioned prior to the at least one graphical icon part and at least another portion of the text part is positioned following the at least one graphical icon part. (see Mochizuki, figure. 9 (a-d), column 5, lines 6-20)

As per claim 43, which is dependent on claim 29, it is of the same scope as claim 40. Supra.

As per claim 45, which is dependent on claim 39, it is of the same scope as claim 40. Supra.

Claims 16, 19-25, 30, 33-38, 41, 42, and 44are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugio et al. US 6,032,025 in view of Mochizuki US 6,044,248.

As per claim 16, Sugio teaches a communication terminal for handling messages and comprising:

a controller,

a transceiver for communicating with a wireless communication network, and
a user interface through which the user operates the terminal, the user interface including
a display (see Sugio, column 2, lines 30-50),

message editor application allowing the user to generate a compound message including a text part and at least one graphical icon part; (see Sugio, column 2, lines 30-42 and column 7, lines 29-60) and

wherein the controller generates the compound message for being transmitted via the transceiver (see Sugio, column 2, lines 34-36) including

a text part in a predefined message text character format (see Sugio, column 7, lines 41-42),

a graphical part including a record for each of the at least one graphical icon part in a graphical format (see Sugio figure 5, col. 6, lines60-col7, lines 10).

least one graphical icon part in the text part.

However, Sugio fails to teach information in the message defining a position of the at

Mochizuki teaches information in the message defining a position of the at least one graphical Icon part in the text part. (see Mochizuki, column 5, lines 6-20)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the method of Mochizuki with the method of Sugio in order to allow more user control over used screen space.

As per claim 19, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 16. Sugio further teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to copy a pre-stored graphical Icon from a memory associated with the controller and containing a plurality of clip art graphical icons. (see Sugio, column 6, lines 27-42 and column 7, lines 48-52)

As per claim 20, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 16. Sugio further teaches the communication terminal comprising a message reader application for automatically converting a received compound message into a displayable format based on the text part and the at least one graphical icon pant. (see Sugio, column 9, lines 7-22).

As per claim 21, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 19. Sugio further teaches the method wherein the message reader application includes means for allowing the user to store the at least one graphical part in the memory associated with the controller and containing a plurality of graphical icons. (see Sugio, column 6, lines 27-34)

As per claim 22, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 16. Sugio further teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to

manually generate a graphical icon on the display by selectively marking dots in an icon matrix. (see Sugio, column 17, lines 36-43)

As per claim 23, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 22. Sugio teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to store a manually entered graphical icon In the memory associated with the controller and containing a plurality of graphical icons. (see Sugio, column 18, lines 29-35)

As per claim 24, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal claim 16. Sugio further teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to input a plurality of graphical parts In the graphical part of the message and information in the message to display the plurality of graphical parts as an animation sequence. (see Sugio, column 42, lines 19-22)

As per claim 25, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 16. Supra

As per claim 30, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 16. Supra

As per claim 33, which is dependant on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 19.

Supra.

As per claim 34, which is dependant on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 20. Supra.

As per claim 35, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 21. Supra.

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 22. Supra.

As per claim 37, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 23. Supra.

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 24. Supra

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 16, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal claim 16. Mochizuki further teaches wherein the position information further defines the position of the at least one graphical icon part relative to the text part such that at least a portion of the text is position prior to the at least one graphical icon part and at least another portion of the text part is position following the at least one graphical icon part. (see Mochizuki, figure. 9 (a-d), column 5, lines 6-20)

As per claim 42, which is dependent on claim 25, it is of the same scope as claim 41. Supra.

As per claim 44, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 41. Supra.

Claims 17, 18, 26, 27, 28, 31, and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugio et al. US 6,032,025 in view of Mochizuki US 6,044,248 further in view of Medina US 6,047,828.

As per claim 17, Sugio and Mochizuki teach a communication terminal according to claim 16. However, Sugio and Mochizuki do no teach wherein the message generated by the controller includes the position information. Medina teaches wherein a message includes a header part including position information of graphics. (see Medina, column 3, lines 34-66) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

incorporate the method of Sugio and Mochizuki with the method Medina in order to allow image data and text data to be properly reconstructed into their proper special relationships

As per claim 18, Sugio, Mochizuki and Medina teach a communication terminal according to claim 17. Medina teaches wherein the header part of the message furthermore includes information about graphics size. (see Medina, column 3, lines 34-66)

As per claim 26, Sugio, Mochizuki and Medina teach a communication terminal according to claim 17. Sugio teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to copy a pre-stored graphical icon from a memory associated with the controller and containing a plurality of clip art graphical icons. (see Sugio, column 6, lines 27-42 and column 7, lines 48-52)

As per claim 27, Sugio, Mochizuki and Medina teach a communication terminal according to claim 18. Sugio teaches wherein the message editor application allows the user to copy a pre-stored graphical icon from a memory associated with the controller and containing a plurality of clip art graphical icons. (see Sugio, column 6, lines 27-42 and column 7, lines 48-52)

As per claim 28, which is dependent on claim 17, it is of the same scope as claim 18. Supra

As per claim 31, which is dependent on claim 30, it is of the same scope as claim 17. Supra

As per claim 32, which is dependent on claim 31, it is of the same scope as claim 18. Supra

### Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-45 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (571) 272-4062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peng Ke

